



19 SEP 2002

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

Oral Caglar
Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton, LLP
333 South Hope Street, 48th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90071

In re Application of	:	
PAGE, et al.	:	
Application No.: 09/831,207	:	DECISION ON RENEWED
PUT No.: PCT/US99/26050	:	
Int. Filing Date: 04 November 1999	:	PETITION UNDER
Priority Date: 06 November 1998	:	
Attorney Docket No.: WDF-69436	:	37 CFR 1.47(a)
For: INTEGRATED APPARATUS FOR	:	
REMOVING POLLUTANTS FROM A	:	
FLUID STREAM IN A LEAN-BURN	:	
ENVIRONMENT WITH HEAT	:	
RECOVERY	:	

This decision is in response to applicant's "Renewed Petition Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.47(a)" filed 09 July 2002 in the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO).

BACKGROUND

On 30 April 2002, applicant was mailed a decision granting applicant's petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive the present petition. In addition, the decision dismissed applicant's petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a). Applicant was afforded two months to file any request for reconsideration.

On 09 July 2002, applicant filed the present renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a). Applicant has certified that the papers were deposited for mailing on 27 June 2002 and are therefore considered timely filed.

DISCUSSION

As detailed in the decision mailed 30 April 2002, a petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) must be accompanied by (1) the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(I), (2) factual proof that the missing joint inventor refuses to execute the application or cannot be reached after diligent effort, (3) a statement of the last known address of the missing inventor, and (4) an oath or declaration by each 37 CFR 1.47(a) applicant on his or her own behalf and on behalf of the non-signing joint inventor. Applicant previously satisfied items (1), (3) and (4).

Regarding applicant's present submission, the declaration of Oral Caglar shows that the

non-signing inventors, Page and MacDonald have been presented with a copy of the application papers and have provided execute declarations to counsel. Applicant has thus satisfied item (2) above. Applicant has now satisfied all four items detailed above.

As such, it is proper to grant applicant's petition at this time. Further, a review of the application file reveals that all of the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 371 for entry into the national stage in the United States have now been satisfied.

CONCLUSION


Applicant's renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) is **GRANTED**.


As provided in 37 CFR 1.47(a), a notice of the filing of this application will be forwarded to the non-signing inventor at his last known address of record.


A notice of the filing of the application under 37 CFR 1.47(a) will be published in the Official Gazette.

This application will be given an international application filing date of 04 November 1999 and a date of **06 February 2002** under 35 U.S.C. 371.

This application is being returned to the DO/EO/US for processing in accordance with this decision, namely, the mailing of a NOTIFICATION OF ACCEPTANCE UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371 (Form PCT/DO/EO/903).



 Boris Milef
Legal Examiner
PCT Legal Office



Derek A. Putonen
Petitions Attorney
PCT Legal Office
Tel: (703) 305-0130
Fax: (703) 308-6459